

Global Credit Research - 29 Oct 2015

Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Negative
Bank Deposits	Baa3/P-3
NSR Bank Deposits	Aa1.br/BR-1
Baseline Credit Assessment	baa3
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	baa3
Counterparty Risk Assessment	Baa2(cr)/P-2(cr)
Senior Unsecured -Dom Curr	Baa3
NSR Senior Unsecured	Aa1.br

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Alcir Freitas/Sao Paulo	55.11.3043.7300
Ceres Lisboa/Sao Paulo	
M. Celina Vansetti/New York City	1.212.553.1653
Farooq Khan/Sao Paulo	55.11.3043.7300

Key Indicators

Banco BBM S.A. (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]6-15	[2]12-14	[2]12-13	[3]12-12	[3]12-11	Avg.
Total Assets (BRL billion)	3.2	3.1	3.2	2.7	2.3	[4]8.1
Total Assets (USD billion)	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.3	[4]-4.8
Tangible Common Equity (BRL billion)	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	[4]3.0
Tangible Common Equity (USD billion)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	[4]-9.4
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	2.1	0.2	0.9	0.1	0.5	[5]0.8
Tangible Common Equity / Risk Weighted Assets (%)	18.6	18.5	21.3	19.2	19.9	[6]19.5
Problem Loans / (Tangible Common Equity + Loan Loss Reserve) (%)	4.0	0.5	2.0	0.3	0.8	[5]1.5
Net Interest Margin (%)	3.4	3.6	5.7	5.2	2.8	[5]4.2
PPI / Average RWA (%)	1.3	1.6	3.9	2.3	1.0	[6]2.3
Net Income / Tangible Assets (%)	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.6	2.9	[5]1.7
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	67.1	61.5	46.9	55.4	78.3	[5]61.8
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	58.9	44.9	37.2	50.4	44.5	[5]47.2
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	57.1	47.6	43.8	43.9	39.5	[5]46.4
Gross Loans / Total Deposits (%)	186.4	177.2	129.9	189.1	121.7	[5]160.9

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in; LOCAL GAAP [3] Basel II; LOCAL GAAP [4] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on LOCAL GAAP reporting periods [5] LOCAL GAAP reporting periods have been used for average calculation [6] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in & LOCAL GAAP reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

On 27 October 2015 we placed a negative outlook on Banco BBM S.A.'s (BBM) ratings to reflect the fact that the ongoing economic recession poses downside risks to its financial performance, and especially to its asset quality and profitability,

because its loan book and revenue streams is less diversified than similarly rated Brazilian universal banks. The deterioration of the operating environment, in line with Moody's expectation of economic contraction until 2016, creates lingering pressures on companies and households repayment capacity, and reduces confidence and business volumes. In this context, risks are skewed to the downside, and may lead to a higher and faster-than-expected strain on its financial profile.

The negative outlook on its ratings also incorporates the degree of sector and borrower concentration in the loan book, which increases the susceptibility to asset risk volatility, particularly in the current economic recession. Also, a potential decline in credit quality would continue to demand higher provisions, and therefore, pressure profitability in an environment of more limited business volumes, despite rising credit spreads.

At the same time, BBM's baa3 BCA acknowledges the effectiveness in credit risk management, as evidenced by its reduced charge-offs through the cycles. BBM's disciplined leverage target, which does not exceed three times its shareholders' equity, reduces the potential impact of loan losses in its capitalization, which is currently strong relative to peers', with a tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets of 18.6% in June 2015. Nevertheless, loan concentration in risky economic sectors and the deteriorating operating environment have led NPLs to increase to 2.1% in June 2015, up from 0.5%. The bank's structural reliance on market funding is offset by high liquidity.

On 19 May 2015, Bank of Communications Co., Ltd. (BoCom, A2 stable, baa3) announced the acquisition of an 80% stake in BBM. Upon the conclusion of this deal, which is subject to approval of authorities in Brazil and China, Moody's will incorporate into BBM's ratings an assessment of affiliate support from BoCom. At the same time, Moody's will reassess BBM's financial profile, which may be positively or negatively affected by potential shifts in its strategy and risk appetite under new ownership.

BBM's deposit and senior unsecured ratings of Baa3 derive from its baa3 BCA, and do not benefit from government support uplift given the bank's modest market share of domestic deposits.

Rating Drivers

- Asset risk supported by effective credit risk management, while potentially pressured by sector and borrower concentration
- Strong capitalization
- Market funding dependence partially offset by sizeable cash position and disciplined asset & liability management
- Profitability is subject to volatility in periods of downturn given the high relevance of loan revenues

Rating Outlook

The outlook on all ratings is negative.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

At this juncture, there is no upward rating pressure on BBM's Baa3 deposit ratings. The stabilization of BBM's outlook could derive from its ability to keep adequate asset risk and profitability, despite the weak economic environment, coupled with the maintenance of strong capitalization.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Negative pressures on BBM's BCA and ratings would derive from a significant deterioration in its asset risk that results in a meaningful profitability reduction, or a relevant deterioration in its liquidity management standards.

Also, a downgrade (or upgrade) of BBM's BCA and ratings could derive from the completion of its acquisition by BoCom.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

CONSERVATIVE APPROACH IN CURRENT ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

We score asset risk as baa3, which reflects its low historical non-performing loan ratios and reduced charge offs, supported by strict underwriting standards. Also, it factors the bank's sector concentration exposure, particularly on sugar & alcohol and construction industries, which however, are mitigated by a high degree of collateralization.

Despite BBM's efforts to increase credit leverage, its loan book has remained relatively stable since 2012, given its conservative risk management standards. In 1H15 the loan book amounted to R\$1.2 billion, with a problem loan ratio somewhat in line with, at 2.14%% (0.22% in 2014) and high reserve coverage, of 210%%. In addition, its loan leverage of 2.0x versus shareholders' equity further denotes the bank's currently conservative position towards credit risk.

Strong credit underwriting policies and entrenched controls have protected the bank from credit and market risk disruptions through economic cycles. Historically, BBM has had low NPL ratios, supported by a rigid and recognized risk

management architecture and control parameters that have proven capable of supporting the bank's risk appetite as well as collateralization of the portfolio in previous bad cycles.

The bank's loan portfolio is relatively concentrated, with the 20 largest loans representing 37.1% of total loans in 1H15, and 20.2% of tangible common equity.

HIGH CAPITAL RATIO

We score capital as a2, which takes into consideration its current position and expected capital consumption.

BBM has historically preserved a comfortable capital cushion to absorb both expected and unexpected losses in the credit and trading portfolio. In 1H15, BBM's tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets was 18.6%.

In addition, limited credit leverage sustains the maintenance of high capital ratios. Management indicates that a maximum credit leverage target of three times equity, with an actual leverage below target at 2.0 times equity.

LOWER PROFITABILITY AND HIGH RESERVE COVERAGE SHOW EFFECT OF WEAKER ECONOMY

We score profitability as ba1, which factors the expected incremental pressures from higher loan loss provisions, and low revenue diversification coupled with intrinsic dependence on loan revenues.

In 1H15 net income to tangible banking assets fell to 1.1%, versus 1.6% in 2014, on the back of higher credit costs. Loan loss provisions amounted to BRL 9.5 million in 1H15, up from BRL 5.3 million in the twelve months ended in December 2014. At the same time, although the credit spreads in the local market have been widening, the bank's net interest margin was slightly lower in the 1H15 in view of the 21% reduction of the loan portfolio.

DEFENSIVE LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT

We factor liquidity as ba3, which factors the bank's high reliance on market funding, but also offset by a large amount of liquid assets and a favorable tenor gap in its balance sheet.

BBM is a wholesale-funded institution, demonstrating management's clear and successful efforts to increase the mix and tenor. Concentration of its deposit base increased slightly with the 20 largest depositors accounting for 75% of total deposits in 1H15. However, it is mitigated by BBM's tight gap controls and conservative hedging policies, which are part of the bank's business-sustainability philosophy. The bank has been managing tenor gaps and costs by issuing local currency banknotes "letras financeiras" (minimum 2-year tenor) and other asset-backed securities such as agribusiness linked notes and RMBS, taking advantage of increased demand for fixed income bonds, which in some cases have lower costs because banks are not required to place reserve requirements at the Central Bank. These local currency instruments accounted for BRL 1.5 billion in 1H15, representing 78% of funding mix. Also, the bank has limited reliance on foreign currency lines, representing 10% of total funding, mostly represented by a term line from IFC.

The bank's large position in government securities works as a cushion in times of stress together with a conservative cash policy, which is comfortable and meets the bank's 180-day horizon obligations. BBM also adopts strong rules that prioritize the duration of deposits that allow the bank to work with no liquidity gap, different from most of its peer's.

BANCO BBM'S BCA IS SUPPORTED BY ITS MACRO PROFILE OF MODERATE +

Brazil's macro profile reflects its large and diversified economy, its high and rising indebtedness and the dominance of public sector banks. Notwithstanding the size of the economy, growth has fallen below potential for more than three consecutive years, weighed down by increased economic uncertainty, a slowdown in consumption and weak investment. In addition, persistently high inflation has raised questions regarding the credibility of the central bank's inflation targeting regime. Moreover, the banking system has become bifurcated, with public banks controlling a 54% share of the system's credit market as a result of years of rapid loan growth driven by government stimulus measures, while private banks remained more conservative. However, loan growth has moderated over the past year, which has alleviated pressure on banks' capital and funding following a period of robust credit market expansion. Brazil's sizeable international reserve position, limited reliance on foreign borrowings and sound banking system support its low susceptibility to event risk.

Notching Considerations

GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

The ratings of Banco BBM do not benefit from any support uplift because of the bank's modest market share in the domestic deposits.

About Moody's Bank Scorecard

Our Scorecard is designed to capture, express and explain in summary form our Rating Committee's judgment. When read in conjunction with our research, a fulsome presentation of our judgment is expressed. As a result, the output of our Scorecard may materially differ from that suggested by raw data alone (though it has been calibrated to avoid the frequent need for strong divergence). The Scorecard output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may

be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

Banco BBM S.A.

Macro Factors	
Weighted Macro Profile	Moderate +

Financial Profile	Historic Ratio	Macro Adjusted Score	Credit Trend	Assigned Score	Key driver #1	Key driver #2
Solvency						
Asset Risk						
<i>Problem Loans / Gross Loans</i>	2.1%	baa1	← →	baa3	Sector concentration	
Capital						
<i>TCE / RWA</i>	18.6%	a1	← →	a2	Expected trend	
Profitability						
<i>Net Income / Tangible Assets</i>	1.1%	baa2	← →	ba1	Expected trend	
Combined Solvency Score		a3		baa2		
Liquidity						
Funding Structure						
<i>Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	44.9%	b2	← →	b3	Market funding quality	
Liquid Resources						
<i>Liquia Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	47.6%	a3	← →	baa1	Quality of liquid assets	
Combined Liquidity Score		ba2		ba3		

Financial Profile	baa3
--------------------------	-------------

Qualitative Adjustments	Adjustment
Business Diversification	0
Opacity and Complexity	0
Corporate Behavior	0
Total Qualitative Adjustments	0

Sovereign or Affiliate constraint	Baa3
-----------------------------------	------

Scorecard Calculated BCA range	baa2 - ba1
--------------------------------	------------

Assigned BCA	baa3
---------------------	-------------

Affiliate Support notching	0
----------------------------	---

Adjusted BCA	baa3
---------------------	-------------

Instrument Class	Loss Given Failure notching	Additional notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment	Government Support notching	Local Currency rating	Foreign Currency rating
-------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------------

Deposits	0	0	baa3	0	Baa3	Baa3
Senior unsecured bank debt	0	0	baa3	0	Baa3	

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained

herein is provided “AS IS” without warranty of any kind. MOODY’S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY’S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY’S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody’s Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY’S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY’S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody’s Corporation (“MCO”), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS’s ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading “Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy.”

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY’S affiliate, Moody’s Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody’s Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to “wholesale clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY’S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a “wholesale client” and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to “retail clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY’S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for “retail clients” to make any investment decision based on MOODY’S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY’S Japan K.K. (“MJKK”) is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY’S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody’s Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned

subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.